HUMAN EVENTS

Vol XIII, No. 22 Saturday, June 2, 1956 Washington, D. C.

News Section *Printed in Two Sections*

Presidential Primaries: "Dark Horse" won the Florida primary — that is the near-unanimous opinion in Washington. For Adlai's 51-49 victory over Estes ended what little chance the Tennessee Senator had. And Stevenson had to make so many "moderation" statements about school integration that enthusiasm for him has evaporated among the minority groups who dominate the Democratic party.

Discussion in the nation's Capital turns increasingly to — which dark horse? Maybe Harriman, who would probably lose every Southern state but retain the critical Negro vote; more likely Symington. Mention of Truman for president, Lyndon Johnson for vice president keeps insistently coming up.

Visit To Moscow: The decision to let Air Chief Twining visit Moscow was the President's (or rather Milton Eisenhower's). It belongs in the pattern of appeasement daily becoming more visible — such as the welcome to Sukarno, friend of Moscow; the plans for a welcome of Nehru, puppet of Moscow; the design to sell out Free China (after the election). The decision is not popular here — note not only the opposition of GOP Senate Leader Knowland, but also rumblings from the Democratic conservatives. Such visits — it is more widely recognized than the White House will admit — tend to reduce U.S. world prestige, to bolster Soviet Russia's propaganda position and to discourage anti-Soviet resistance behind the Iron Curtain.

Wisconsin Story: A rebirth of the Republican party, in the very state where that party was born in 1854, was hailed this week as a posible aftermath of the endorsement by the Wisconsin GOP of Representative Glenn Davis as nominee for the Senate next fall. The GOP, in convention, at the same time repudiated internationalist Senator Alexander Wiley, the incumbent who has been seeking re-election, by giving its backing to Glenn Davis.

Davis is known as a staunch conservative and a sound nationalist. He stands for the Bricker amendment and opposes foreign aid. He is 41 years old. Youth, patriotism, adherence to sound economic principles — such a Wisconsin combination might offer an example to the national GOP to follow, to return to the path of its greatest days.

Davis is backed by Senator McCarthy; Thomas E. Coleman, Taft floor manager at the 1952 convention; Wayne Hood, former executive director, GOP National Committee; and, Walter Harnischfeger, Milwaukee industrialist.

The phenomenon of Wiley and his party's rejection of him is not an unfamiliar one in Washington. It's an old story — that of Federal legislators who come to the Capital sincerely determined to represent their constituents' desires, not the least in foreign policy, but who come to grief later when they yield to the influence of the internationalist lobby. The late Senator George Norris of Nebraska, the late Senator Arthur Vandenberg, Senator Walter George — all fell from favor in their home states when they became instruments for the internationalist lobby and lost touch with the sentiments of their constituents.

It is believed here that Wiley, facing the opposition of his party, will at a suitable time bow out of the race, particularly if he obtains promise of a Federal appointment.

Secretary Of Interior: Behind a front of amiable protocol and party harmony, the appointment of White House aide Fred Seaton to the post of Secretary of Interior emerges as a victory for the Dewey left wing of the Republican party and, in a broader

Copyright 1956 by Human Events, Inc.
Published weekly at 1835 K Street, N.W., Washington 6, D. C.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

sense, a setback for the private power, anti-socialist stand originally adopted by the Eisenhower Administration. Seaton is classified as a "liberal" and a member of the Dewey network within the party.

It is recalled here that Seaton was appointed as a short-term Senator from Nebraska on the death of Senator Kenneth Wherry. During his short term, his voting record won the approval of the socialistic Americans for Democratic Action; indeed, he ranked highest of any GOP Senator on the ADA box-score.

He was chosen by the White House despite the fact that most of the western GOP Senators wanted the job given to Undersecretary of Interior, Clarence Davis, former Attorney General of Nebraska and known as a Taft conservative. Davis also upheld the original anti-socialistic policy of the Administration and in this was an able second man to Secretary McKay who recently resigned the post, at White House suggestion, to run for the Senate in Oregon.

Assertions that Seaton will follow the McKay-Davis anti-socialistic policy are taken with a generous portion of salt; he is purely a creature of the White House and it is believed that the Palace Guard for some time has been determined on ditching the anti-socialistic power policy, or what the "liberals" call the "give-away" policy, on natural resources.

Political observers here — with the above in mind — wonder if the naming of Seaton will not harm the race which McKay is making against Wayne Morse in Oregon. It is believed that out West the appointment will be regarded as an expression of lack of confidence in McKay and his policies by the President. The evident jubilation of Morse, on receipt of the news, was regarded as significant.

Dead-Set In Dixie: Sentiment down South, for segregation and in defiance of the Supreme Court, is hardening, as observers in the Capital view the situation.

(1) Two incumbent Congressmen, who had refused to sign the anti-Supreme Court manifesto (gotten out by over 100 members of Congress) bit the dust in last week's North Carolina primaries; and prominent Democratic wheelhorse Congressman Cooley (Chairman of the House Agriculture Committee) had to run scared to keep his seat in the same election; Cooley in the finish was found praising segregation and criticizing the Court. He won, but not brilliantly.

(2) Last week, North Carolina's Democratic party resisted the Stevenson crowd's attempt to pledge the state's delegation to vote for the Illinois man on the first ballot.

(3) Alabama is still viewing thoughtfully the defeat of Governor Folsom's bid for power in that state; Folsom was considered "soft on segregation." The grass roots defeated his attempt to take over the state committee.

(4) Florida, reviewing the recent governorship primary, dwelt on the fact that fanatically pro-segregation candidate General Lowry got over 100,000 votes despite the fact he was bucking the state machine; Lowry's pressure, it is thought, stiffened winning Governor Collin's stand against desegregation.

(5) The *Washington Post*, on the subject of Virginia's attitude on desegregation, reports a "hardening of southside Virginia."

Washington observers, while agreed on the above trend, are divided as to whether the trend means a break-up within the Democratic party, or whether it signifies "harmony" when the Democrats meet in convention in Chicago next summer. Some observers believe that the "hardening" attitude could unfortunately ripen into displays of violence and racial hysteria, with consequent reactions against the South in the northern Democratic ranks — and then a real party split. Others, however, believe that the "hardening" of sentiment on the segregation issue means that the opposing forces in the South are settling down to a less belligerent, more long-term struggle, which in effect is the "gradualism," hailed by Stevenson and the "moderates." If this be so, then it provides the moderates in the Democratic party, those who want Stevenson or Symington, with a much more favorable climate.

Russia: The announcement that the Soviet Union had reduced its armed forces by 1,200,000 answered a question that for more than a year has perplexed Washington experts who study the statistics that come out of the Soviet Union.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

These specialists had noticed an unexplained rise in the labor force of more than a million in the Fall of 1954. They now believe that the rise came from the 1,200,000 cut in the armed forces.

They think the cut took place in the Fall of 1954, but the announcement was delayed until its propaganda value could be maximized — which is now.

U.S. experts on the Soviet Union have not been fooled into thinking the Soviet Union has suddenly renounced the Communist dream of world conquest. Moscow — they believe — has simply recognized that if and when "Der Tag" comes, bombing planes bearing atomic and hydrogen bombs rather than ground forces will serve as the most efficient weapons.

Letter from WALTER LECKRONE, Editor, *The Indianapolis Times*: "HUMAN EVENTS is the weekly voice of Common Sense about national affairs . . . at a time in our nation's life when public affairs make Common Sense a priceless ingredient.

Stassen: One of the major contributing factors in the Congressional decision a year ago to write "finis" to the unlamented Foreign Operations Administration was the widely-held conviction that under Harold E. Stassen's direction it was accomplishing little or nothing. That was deemed by many Congressional leaders to be the simplest method of getting rid of the somewhat shopworn one-time "Boy Wonder."

But Stassen's energy is considerable, his deviousness great, his ambition boundless. Instead of retiring gracefully from the public eye, he turned up as President Eisenhower's Advisor on Disarmament, a post created especially for Stassen.

By now, it has become clear that Stassen regards his tailored job as a new style super-Secretary of State. He pictures himself, say those in the know, as the President's top advisor on the most important foreign relations' issues. On that basis, he presently is leading "liberal" elements in urging Mr. Eisenhower to take the Soviet "New Look" at its face value and to undertake an era of cordiality and coexistence with international Communism.

The duly appointed Secretary of State, John Foster Dulles, gradually is approaching the boiling point. He has, over the years, done many things meriting widespread criticisms of conservatives; but on this one, he is the most vocal advocate of the course which most Americans feel is dictated by the history of Communism — to wait until the Reds back up their honeyed words with deeds, meanwhile keeping our guard up and taking none of the words at face value.

Authentic reports say also that Dulles is becoming fed up with what he regards as Stassen's interference in general in the field of diplomacy, and is becoming convinced that Stassen is building up his own small State Department.

Congressional sources concur, adding that Stassen's purpose, in thus entrenching himself, undoubtedly is to further his never-flagging ambition to some day achieve the Presidency.

Labor Front: A man who was a former CIO official and still is a dues-paying member of the United Auto Workers-CIO union, Mr. George F. Hinkle, strikes out sharply against labor's compulsory collection of dues for political purposes. "Millions of union members in this country," says Hinkle, "are being forced to contribute to campaign funds of political candidates these very same union members oppose at the polls. This is being done through the use of union dues money by labor leaders. Individual union members are being forced to make political contributions to candidates and parties as a condition of employment."

Hinkle went on to point out that, "All labor leaders are not in favor of this compulsory political activity by all union members. It is only those who have the desire to promote and foster their absolute control over all the citizens of our country and those who wish to promote their own socialistic ideals and are using the labor movement as a vehicle to be used toward attaining these ideals."

Hinkle, who is presently State Commissioner of Labor in Indiana, proposes passage of legislation to prohibit the use of dues money by union leaders in political campaigns. "I say," remarked Hinkle, "that the penalty for violation of this legislation and principle should be the denial of the right of that particular union or local union to have a union shop. I say that this proposal is not a so-called 'right-to-wreck' proposal and it is not an anti-labor proposal. It is an anti-political labor boss proposal."

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

USIS: The Senate has voted to give the United States Information Service more money for its operations during the coming fiscal year than it ever has had before. It gave the agency a whopping $115,000,000, or $5,000,000 more than provided by the House.

But the Senate set its figure only after a bitter wrangle within an Appropriations Subcommittee which eventually was settled by an unanimous agreement on the figure — and on a thorough study of the USIS and its operations by a special Senate Committee before the new Congress convenes in January.

The probe is to be conducted by a four man group comprising the Chairman of the Subcommittee handling USIS appropriations, Senator Lyndon Johnson; the Chairman of the full Appropriations Committee, Senator Carl Hayden of Arizona; the ranking Republican member of the subcommittee, Senator Styles Bridges of New Hampshire, and another GOP member to be designated by Bridges. Educated guesses are that it will be Senator Karl Mundt of South Dakota.

The group will have authority to use members of the regular staff of the Appropriations Committee or hire special staff personnel, as they choose, and to study any or all phases of USIS operations in any way they decide.

Many travellers who have personally witnessed the failure of USIS operations to properly present the American story to peoples in other parts of the world, long have advocated some such inquiry into the agency's methods and aims. But the need for the probe could hardly be better pointed up than it was by a *New York Times* dispatch at approximately the same time as the Committee acted.

From Bangkok, it told of the major contributions to Thailand economic and living conditions made by U.S. aid. But then it went on to say that virtually none of the Thai people had the faintest inkling that their improved conditions were due in any part to Uncle Sam's largesse.

Legislators say one of the primary functions of USIS should be to make the peoples of other countries realize, as subtly as possible but nonetheless surely, what the United States is trying to do to help them. Its inability to accomplish this, they say, is the basic reason why both our foreign aid and USIS programs have been such utter failures up to now.

Women For Welker: Senator Herman Welker, Republican of Idaho, is running for renomination and re-election against considerable odds. Reportedly, CIO and the usual "eastern" money are flowing into that state to defeat a legislator whose anti-Communist record has been outstandingly courageous. Accordingly, there has been formed the "National Women's Committee for Welker — To Preserve American Principles and Traditions," Eastman Building, Boise, Idaho. Its Advisory Committee discloses names of prominent citizens in many states besides Idaho. Mrs. Floyd Rogers of Washington, D. C., is Chairman and Jess B. Hawley of Boise is Treasurer. The Committee asks for money to help Welker's campaign, saying: "Please support us in our effort to provide sufficient funds for the re-election of this 'Fighting Senator.' You can do your part by sending in your personal check today. Please make it payable to the National Women's Committee for Welker, Jess B. Hawley, Treasurer and send it to Mr. Hawley, Eastman Building, Boise, Idaho."

For America: Big rally of *For America*, national patriotic organization, is scheduled in Los Angeles, California, on June 7 at 8 P.M. at the Shrine Civic Auditorium. Speakers: Senator Jenner, Governor J. Bracken Lee and Dean Clarence Manion.

TRIAL SUBSCRIPTION to HUMAN EVENTS for new subscribers only: 6 weeks for $1.
Yearly rate, $10; 6 months, $6; 3 months, $3.
By first class mail, $12 a year; by airmail (including APO and FPO) $14 a year. FOREIGN: by regular mail, $13 a year; airmail, $18.
Allow us 2 weeks to process new subscriptions and changes of address.
1955 Bound Annual Volume with complete Index $15. All Bound Volumes for years prior to 1955 have been sold.
The Declaration of Independence opens: "When in the course of HUMAN EVENTS . . ."

FRANK C. HANIGHEN, *Editor and Publisher* JAMES L. WICK, *Executive Publisher*
Contributing Editors: FRANK CHODOROV, WILLIS J. BALLINGER

HUMAN EVENTS, 1835 K Street, N.W., Washington 6, D. C., is a weekly newsletter reporting from Washington on politics, business, labor and taxes. It was founded in 1944 by Frank C. Hanighen. Published usually in two sections: a 4-page news section and a 4-page article section. Entered as second class matter at Washington, D. C. Copyright 1956.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Volume XIII, No. 22 HUMAN EVENTS June 2, 1956

Article Section

THE POLITICAL "H" BOMB

By ROGERS C. DUNN

Communism can infiltrate America only as our own ideology becomes dim and appears to be unworkable. Only a few Americans have been given the opportunity to realize that Communism is basically an ideology of Government — reactionary Government.

Socialism is the back door entrance into Communism. Few Americans have knowingly supported either one. But, the "liberal" movement, created in about 1936, has provided a respectable side door into socialism.

The "liberal" program of today is the same thing as the unwanted socialist program of yesterday. The socialist program of today is made the "liberal" program of tomorrow.

Nevertheless, the national organizations of both political parties are competing to enlarge and extend "liberal" programs. As long as this continues, as a practical matter, the opportunity to escape from an accelerating envelopment by socialism is closed.

Fantastic, But True

There is a flaw, fatal to the "liberal" movement, when recognized. Substantial and exacting facts have not established that the movement reflects a public demand, and that "liberal" benefits have won a gain in votes from various groups, farmers, workers, etc.

The absence of such facts provokes the question:

Is the "liberal" movement being forged by the ballot and desire of the people, or is it a thinly-veiled scaffolding sustained by leaders who have misrepresented and misled the people — wittingly or unwittingly?

This report is addressed to the answer to this question, for:

If election facts establish that "liberal" benefits have not won a gain in votes, then:

1. The incentive to win votes, which causes leaders of both parties to compete in accepting and promoting "liberal" programs, is destroyed.

2. The fear of "liberal" votes which has eroded the leadership of those who wish to oppose the "liberal" movement would cease; and this leadership would prevail in at least one party.

3. The revelation that the people are not ignorant or greedy groups, but are competent to be master of their Government, would relight the American ideology and make it live.

4. One of the two parties would be forced to become a party in opposition to the "liberal" movement.

Published weekly at 1835 K Street, N.W., Washington 6, D. C.
Copyright 1956 by HUMAN EVENTS, INC.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

FACTS

1. Sixteen years of "liberal" benefits to growing millions of people, and a growing population, did not win a gain in total vote for the Democratic party which sponsored the programs.

	1936	1940	1944	1948	1952
	(in millions)				
Number of Democratic Votes Cast For President	27.5	27.2	25.6	26.4*	27.3

2. "Liberal" benefits did not increase the Democratic share of total vote.

	1936	1940	1944	1948	1952
Democratic Per Cent of Total Vote Cast For President	62%	55%	54%	55%*	45%

3. While the adult population (21 and over) increased substantially, the ratio of Democratic votes to the population did not increase.

	1936	1940	1944	1948	1952
Per Cent of Democratic Vote To Adult Population†	34%	32%	28%	28%*	28%

These facts establish that if "liberal" benefits to farmers, workers, and others, won a gain in Democratic votes, the party's policies lost as many or more votes from others.

Who are these other voters? Why have the nation's leaders chosen not to reveal a fact of such major significance?

Why have the nation's leaders chosen to promote the view that "liberal" programs are necessary to gain votes?

Why has the national organization of the Republican party moved closer and closer to the "liberal" program of the Democratic party when that party failed to increase its vote in 16 years?

These facts and questions are sufficient to challenge those who teach, or believe, that the "liberal" movement is being forged by the vote and desire of the American people.

The facts which follow reveal why the nation's leaders never offered substantial facts supporting their teachings that "liberal" benefits won a gain in Democratic votes.

LABOR VOTE

The facts here deal with one of the largest groups of citizens who have received as great, if not greater, benefits from "liberal" programs than others.

1. In the labor citadels of Wayne County (Detroit), Michigan, and in Allegheny County (Pittsburgh), Pennsylvania, as the population and union membership grew, and as the political power of labor leaders grew, the Democratic candidates for President received a smaller share of the vote.

			Pittsburgh	Detroit
Before CIO-PAC	1932	Roosevelt	56%	60%
	1936	Roosevelt	68%	68%
After CIO	1940	Roosevelt	58%	62%
	1944	Roosevelt	57%	63%
After Taft-Hartley	1948	Truman	56%	59%
	1952	Stevenson	51%	53%

2. In the 98 counties which comprised the largest industrial areas throughout the country, as union membership grew, and as the political power of labor leaders grew, the Democratic candidates for President received a smaller share of the vote cast.

	Democratic Vote	Union Membership (U.S.)
Before CIO-PAC	1932 — 57%	3,250,000
	1936 — 65%	4,700,000
After CIO	1940 — 57%	8,500,000
	1944 — 56%	13,500,000
	1948 — 52%	15,600,000

* Includes vote for Truman, Wallace, Thurmond.
† Based on figures of population 21 and over received from U.S. Census Bureau.

3. The following facts are the result of segregating the vote of every *labor precinct* in 261 (84%) of the 310 counties in Pennsylvania, Delaware, Maine, Illinois, Iowa and Wyoming. These labor precincts cast a total of 1,172,000 votes in 1952.

	1940	1948	1952
(a) Per Cent of Democratic Votes For President in Labor Precincts	55%	54%	48%
(b) Number of Democratic Votes For President Cast in Labor Precincts	628,000	519,000	559,000

4. The movement of the vote in labor precincts was generally similar to the movement in white-collar, wealthy-residential, and mixed population precincts where there were fewer or no special "liberal" benefits.

5. The preceding labor vote facts are consolidated here for comparison, and to show the similarity of the vote in labor centers and the nation-wide vote.

	1932	1936	1940	1944	1948	1952
Democratic Vote	*Before CIO*		*After CIO*		*After Taft-Hartley*	
All United States	59%	62%	55%	54%	55%	45%
98 Industrial Counties	57	65	57	56	52	—
Pittsburgh (Allegheny Co.)	56	68	58	57	56	51
Detroit (Wayne Co.)	60	68	62	63	59	53
Labor *Precincts* in 6 States	*	*	55	*	54	48

These facts establish that "liberal" benefits did not win a gain in labor vote for the Democratic party which provided the benefits.

These facts establish that the nation's leaders, "liberal" and conservative, who promoted the view that "liberal" benefits won a gain in labor votes have, for years, misrepresented and misled the American people.

FARM VOTE

Billions of dollars in "liberal" benefits were paid to farmers by Democratic Administrations, for 20 years. Political leaders vied to gain farm votes through "liberal" benefits. Virtually all public leaders educated the people to believe that farmers yielded their votes for "liberal" benefits.

The facts reveal a shocking misrepresentation.

1. The following figures show the number of Democratic votes cast for President in all farm precincts in 97 of the 99 counties in the farm state of Iowa.

	1936	1940	1944	1948	1952
Number of Democratic Votes For President In *Farm Precincts*	293,000	253,000	207,000	215,000	168,000

The total number of Democratic and Republican votes in these farm precincts in 1952 was the same as in 1936. Thus, the decline in Democratic vote can not be attributed to a decline in farm population.

2. The number of Democratic votes for President declined in the farm precincts in Illinois. The following figures cover all farm precincts in 97 of the 102 counties in Illinois. (We do not have figures for 1936 and 1944.)

	1940	1948	1952
Number of Democratic Votes For President In *Farm Precincts*	241,000	176,000	167,000

3. In Wyoming, Maine and Pennsylvania, and in the other states where tests have been made, the decline in Democratic farm vote is similar to Iowa and Illinois.

* We do not have precinct figures for 1932. For 3 states we do not have precinct figures for 1936 and 1944 so have omitted totals for these years.

NOTE: For the sake of clarity and brevity we have omitted reference to the Republican vote. The Republican vote strengthens the weight of the evidence here.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Taft Election Exposed "Liberal" Movement Not Supported By Public

Commencing in 1941, this Survey has presented facts which revealed that the so-called New Deal labor vote was a hoax. In 1946 we reported, in part:

"We believe that there is little labor union legislation needed in the public interest which could not also be sought for the welfare of union members. We believe that labor has been exploited by its own mechanism. Therefore:

"We urge that the Republican party rise up to fight as *the champion of the welfare of the rank and file of union members, and of the public,* for labor union legislation which is fair, decisive, and of full measure.

"By this course the Republican party would align the rank and file of union members and the public into an irresistible political force.

"The facts lift the rank and file of union members out of the New Deal-labor-leader orbit, and place them alongside of the rest of the American people. But, isolated and encircled by the New Deal, abandoned by the Republican party, the American workingman has had no political champion."

This was the overall campaign which brought Senator Taft his great majority in Ohio in 1950. He knew that "liberal" programs had not gained the vote of rank and file workers, farmers, etc., in Ohio.

An editorial in the *Cleveland Plain Dealer,* October 1, 1950, revealed:

"Despite the vast favors which labor unions have received from the Democratic party, despite the party's open alliance with the unions, and despite the feverish election activities of the CIO-PAC, the number of Democratic voters has constantly declined in the principal centers of organized labor since the 1936 heyday.

"To put it another way, fantastic as it may seem, the victorious Democratic party has not been converting increasing numbers of men to its philosophy, but has been losing followers.

"And also in the principal farm areas of the nation, fewer Democratic ballots were cast in 1948 than in 1940 despite the enormous subsidies lavished on them by Roosevelt and Truman Administrations.

"In factory and on farm, the give-away programs have been losing – not winning – voters.

"We are indebted to the Dunn Survey of Greenwich, Conn., for pointing out this political trend. We checked for ourselves the official election returns of Ohio, and find that the conclusions both as to farm and factory are borne out. . . ."

With such knowledge, Taft could campaign fearlessly for the American ideology. *His great majority made a dramatic revelation of the political character of the people of Ohio.* But there was little that was new about this except that it was dramatic because Taft was a national figure.

Scores of Members of Congress made similar campaigns each year with but a rare casualty – and these were mostly in years when a "liberal" Republican presidential candidate neutralized the Taft-like campaigns of individuals and their local organizations.

Taft-like campaigns, across the country, had a total result which revealed the lack of public support for "liberal" programs even more significantly than the Taft victory.

But the Republican national organization did not exploit these opportunities to reveal that "liberal" benefits were not gaining public support. Instead, it strengthened the "liberal" movement by promoting the belief that "liberal" benefits gained labor, farm, and other mass votes; and it sought to make ineffective facts to the contrary.

Today

Today, the program of a Republican Administration is as "liberal" as the Democratic Fair Deal. This neutralizes the political character and effectiveness of Republicans and organizations who oppose the "liberal" movement. It leaves them to wither on the vine, one at a time – a movement now apparent.

Today, neither a Democrat or Republican can vote for his party's national ticket without supporting and accelerating the "liberal" movement. Voters can choose only the most competent and comforting bedside nurse, while the "liberal" operation continues.

From The Top Down

The "liberal" movement and its programs have originated, and been kept activated, by the national organizations of the Democratic and Republican parties and by the Federal bureaucracy – not by the public or local party organizations.

To maintain and extend the movement, leaders of these organizations must propagate the view that the public seeks the benefits, and that the vote proves the contention.

When leaders of both parties claim a "liberal" labor, farm, or other vote, the public naturally believes it. However, for 20 years election facts have not been offered to sustain the contention. There are no such facts.

The "liberal" movement is a thinly veiled scaffolding sustained by leaders who have misrepresented and misled the American people.

Taft revealed this in Ohio in 1950. The many Taft-like campaigns made by Members of Congress revealed this year after year. The absence of extensive state and local "liberal" programs reveals this. The actual vote cast in precincts, towns, cities and states reveals this.

20 Years Of Efforts By Conservatives

For 20 years conservatives have sought to educate the public to understand and oppose the "liberal"-socialist movement. They failed to halt the movement. They failed to even provide the opportunity to choose between a "liberal" and a conservative party.

These failures appeared when, on election days, the people were confronted with no choice but to vote for one of two "liberal" candidates for President. They could only vote "ja," or stay home.

For three and a half of the four years between elections the public was taught the evils of the "liberal"-socialist program. But in the few months before election day the same teachers supported, and urged all good Americans to support, their "liberal" candidate for President.

This contradiction neutralized the three and a half years of educational efforts, as should be expected. This explains why the Republican party has become a minor-

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

ity party; why a growing number of voters will not affiliate with either party, independents; why fewer than 50% of the eligible voters went to the polls; and why there is public confusion and discouragement.

Conservatives have failed to learn that the mind and vote of a majority of citizens has been as ready as their own to sustain the American ideology.

They have failed to learn that the factor preventing voters from registering this ideology at the polls, excepting 1952, has been the national organization of the Republican party.

The revelation that a Republican Administration is as "liberal" as the Democratic Fair Deal confirms what the political character of the national organization of the party has been for approximately 20 years.

This assures that in 1956 the people can vote "ja" on the issue of the "liberal" program. This will give the movement the appearance of having greater public support than ever before, regardless of which party wins the election. And more men who have carried the fight for the American ideology will quit, from discouragement and personal considerations.

Partisan Blindness

Some Republicans will discount or resent any reflection against a part of their party. In the interest of bringing out the truth, we would suggest that any such man look in the mirror and repeat the reflections he made against the character and intelligence of conservative Southern Democrats who disapproved of their party's "liberal" program but continued to support the New Deal.

The One Opportunity To Win

There is only one means through which the "liberal" movement can be stopped, and returned to its proper minority position.

Reveal to all Americans that they have been deceived into believing that their fellow citizens are yielding their common ideology and, in growing numbers, giving their vote for "liberal" benefits – from the local level to the national level.

This truth can be revealed through the record of the vote cast by the people themselves. This is a political "H" bomb.

Endorsed By Many Members Of Congress

Twenty-seven Members of Congress, including 7 Committee Chairmen, have examined our methods and precinct vote findings and taken the unusual action of jointly signing a letter which reads, in part:

"We believe your methods and procedures are sound and that the resulting figures open a new field of political intelligence of great value – at the national, state, and local levels.

"When and if the study you have already made in several states is extended upon a national scale, with similar results, we believe the facts will afford the sorely needed incentive to both Republicans and Democrats alike to move away from the Welfare-Socialist State, back to the fundamental principles of Government outlined by our forefathers in the Constitution." And:

"We believe it is a matter of great importance to the nation for you to extend your studies of the precinct vote on a nation-wide basis, and that you make the results as widely known as possible.

"You have undertaken a great task, an important task. We wish to help and encourage you in your efforts, and to that end we recommend this work of yours as being worthy of support by all who have the future welfare of this nation at heart."

We ask for your careful consideration of this report. Achievement of the objective stated here depends upon the support we receive. Therefore we ask for your wholehearted financial support at this time.

Comparison Of Farm and Labor Vote With Other Types Of Population

The following figures are based on the number of Democratic votes cast for President in 1936 representing 100%. The 1940, '44, '48, and 1952 figures show the number of votes cast (percentage) compared to 1936.

IOWA *

Type of Precinct	1936	1940	1944	1948	1952
Farm	(100%)	86%	70%	73%	58%
Labor	(100%)	102	91	94	88
White-collar	(100%)	106	100	102	99
Wealthy-residential	(100%)	100	110	102	105
Mixed Population	(100%)	94	81	89	76

PENNSYLVANIA †

Type of Precinct	1936	1940	1944	1948	1952
Farm	(100%)	78%	56%	51%	64%
Labor	(100%)	87	67	62	76
White-collar	(100%)	80	68	57	76
Wealthy-residential	(100%)	87	76	60	69
Mixed Population	(100%)	80	66	56	74

ILLINOIS ‡

Type of Precinct	1936	1940	1944	1948	1952
Farm	—	(100%)	—	73%	70%
Labor	—	(100%)	—	85	92
White-collar	—	(100%)	—	81	99
Wealthy-residential	—	(100%)	—	89	96
Mixed Population	—	(100%)	—	79	85

* All precincts in 97 of 99 counties.

† All precincts in 25 of 67 counties thus far completed.

‡ All precincts in 97 of 102 counties. We do not have 1936 and 1944 figures. 1940 vote used as 100%.

Additional copies of this 4-page Article: single copy 20¢ (coins, not stamps); 10 copies $1; 25 copies $2; 100 copies $5; 500 copies $20; 1,000 copies $30. In 5,000 lots $20 per thousand.

Rogers C. Dunn is owner and director of The Dunn Survey, Greenwich, Connecticut, a non-profit organization which for some years has been analyzing the vote for President and Members of Congress by precincts.

HUMAN EVENTS was founded in 1944 by Frank C. Hanighen. It is published weekly at 1835 K Street, N.W., Washington 6, D. C., and reports from Washington on politics, business, labor and taxes. It is usually published in two parts: a 4-page news section and a 4-page article. $10 per year.

TRIAL SUBSCRIPTIONS for new subscribers only: 6 weeks for $1.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED